Unsupervised heteromodal physics-informed representation of MRI data: tackling data harmonisation, imputation and domain shift P. Borges, V. Fernandez, P.T. Tudosiu, P. Nachev, S. Ourselin, J. Cardoso

Introduction

- MRI is an excellent diagnostic tool, suitable for both **morphological and functional** imaging.

- However, MRI images acquired with different sequences, and with differing sequence parameters can **exhibit significant differences in contrast** which can result in **downstream issues** when tracking volumetric changes or identifying pathology

- Quantitative imaging can address these concerns BUT is costly and time-consuming, typically necessitating multiple scanning sessions

FLAIR FLAIR FLAIR MPRAGE MPRAGE MPRAGE MPRAGE MPRAGE MPRAGE MPRAGE MPRAGE MPRAGE

- We propose a **multi-modal, unsupervised, missing-data robust** MPM translation pipeline that can be applied to typical clinically acquired data





phys.

(FLAIR)



The main pipeline components are:

- <u>Three input modality branches</u> (FLAIR, MPRAGE, T2-SE) which can be fed empty images
- 2. A <u>U-Net style architecture</u> for ingesting the images
- 3. <u>Multi-modality self-attention</u> blocks for modulating the contribution of each modality towards different components of the MPM
- A static equation-based physics-forward model for reconstructing the original images using the output MPM: Allows for <u>UNSUPERVISED</u> training as <u>no real MPMs required</u>

Experimental setup

- Network is trained with the **SABRE** (MPRAGE, FLAIR, T2-SE) and **Biobank** (MPRAGE, FLAIR) datasets



- Outputs are enforced to resemble MPMs because they are used to <u>re-generate</u> the original images using a <u>static-</u> <u>equation-based simulation</u> in conjunction with the sequence parameters

- Fidelity is verified via:
 - <u>Consistency</u>: Reconstructing a modality the network has been fed
 - <u>Imputation</u>: Reconstructing a modality the network has NOT been fed
 - <u>Imputation for segmentation</u>: Applying network to real data to impute modalities for a segmentation network that is familiar with imputed modality

Inputs		MPMs			Gen.	Real
FLAIR	T2-SE	T1	T2	PD	MPRAGE	MPRAGE
	(In the second	(and the second		1 Stor		

MPMs are generated from FLAIR and T2SE, used to generate MPRAGE that are fed to segmentation network
Mean Dice scores:



- Real 74.08 | Imputed 64.92 | FLAIR 51.71
- While imputed data cannot replace real data, it is far preferable to using network-unfamiliar modalities

Conclusion

- We have shown that MPMs translated from a variety of starting image combinations are high fidelity via internal consistency and imputation analyses and via a real data imputation task on external data

- Crucially, we do so in an **unsupervised manner**, and can be applied to **standard datasets retrospectively**

- Paves the way for models to be trained with translated MPM data, reducing the need for domain adaptation or post-hoc harmonization



